

Serial No. 10/055,194  
Amdt. dated March 30, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of February 8, 2005

Attorney Docket No. PF02194NA

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1 through 4, 6 through 13, 15 through 18, 20 through 25, and 27 through 32 remain in this application. Claims 1, 9, 17 and 22 have been amended.

Claims 1 through 4, 6 through 13, 15 through 18, 20 through 25, and 27 through 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(c) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,301,609 to Aravamudan, et al. ("Aravamudan, et al. patent"). Also, the above-identified Advisory Action states that both IP addresses and phone numbers are associated with at least link type and bandwidth. In view of the above statement in the Advisory Action, claims 1, 9, 17 and 22 are hereby amended to redefine the resource information without bandwidth and link type. In particular, claim 1 as amended provides, *inter alia*, gathering device operating information including resource information associated with the first device, wherein the resource information is associated with at least one of display capability, input capability, link cost, device type, latency and power of the first device, and claims 9, 17 and 22 as amended provide, *inter alia*, similar language. Accordingly, claims 1, 9, 17 and 22 distinguish patentably from the Aravamudan, et al. patent.

Claims 2 through 4, 6 through 8, 10 through 13, 15, 16, 18, 20, 21, 23 through 25, and 27 through 32 depend from and include all limitations of independent claims 1, 9, 17 and 22. Therefore, claims 2 through 4, 6 through 8, 10 through 13, 15, 16, 18, 20, 21, 23 through 25, and 27 through 32 distinguish patentably from the Aravamudan, et al. patent for the reasons stated above for independent claims 1, 9, 17 and 22.

Serial No. 10/055,194  
Amdt. dated March 30, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of February 8, 2005

Attorney Docket No. PF02194NA

In view of the above, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1 through 4, 6 through 13, 15 through 18, 20 through 25, and 27 through 32 are respectfully requested.

### CONCLUSION

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. Also, no amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicants have argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to deduct any additional fees arising as a result of this response, including any fees for Extensions of Time, or any other communication from or to credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 50-2117.

It is submitted that the claims clearly define the invention, are supported by the specification and drawings, and are in a condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. Should the Examiner have any

Serial No. 10/055,194  
Amdt. dated March 30, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of February 8, 2005

Attorney Docket No. PF02194NA

questions or concerns that may expedite prosecution of the present application, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,  
Phillips, Garland, et al.

*Hisashi D. Watanabe* 03/30/05

Hisashi D. Watanabe Date  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 37,465  
Telephone: (847) 523-2322  
Facsimile: (847) 523-2350

Please forward all correspondence to:  
Motorola, Inc.  
Law Department (HDW)  
600 North US Highway 45, AS437  
Libertyville, IL 60048